GPB 10,000 20 12 11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896-95
~~191~~.
(Mysore)

File No. 12

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Directing the discontinuance of the rice allowance granted to Srikantasastri, Pattadegar of the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy Temple in Mysore from the Devikoota of the Chamundeswari Temple on the Chamundi Hill, Mysore

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

(2)

Reference
978

3-3-64.

Circle ನಂಬರ್. 4

4

ಮೈಸೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ ಹುಜೂರು ಕಚೇರಿ ಯಿಂದ.

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಿಲರಿಗೆ ಹುಕುಂ

842
1494

ನಂಬರ್.

1160

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್‌ರವರ ಹುಜೂರು ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

~~ಪಾಪ್ಲೈಷ್ಡ್ ಅನೌಂಟೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್~~

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Cumbla ನಂಬರ್ 14

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ ಹುಜೂರು ಕಚೇರಿ ಯಿಂದ.

~~ಊಟ್ಲೂರು ಅನೆಂಟರಿಗೆ ಪುಸ್ತಕ~~

ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ಚೌಡೇಶ್ವರಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸ್ಥಿರ ಮತ್ತು ಚರ ಆಸ್ತಿಗಳ ವಿವರ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರ ಆದಾಯ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳ ವಿವರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 541/1414 ನೇ ನಂ ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ಸಬ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವು ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು 1883 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಚೌಡಮ್ಮ ಎಂಬ ಮಹಿಳೆಯವರು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ್ದು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಸ್ಥಿರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯು ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 686 ನೇ ಮಜರೆ ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು 30 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ 1884 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 1213 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಯುವ ಬೆಳೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 3031 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಳೆಯುವ ಬೆಳೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂಜೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಅರ್ಚಕರು ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅರ್ಚಕರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ 975 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ~~ಪೂಜಾರಿ~~ ~~ಅರ್ಚಕರು~~ } ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಪ್ಪ 1160 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ~~Mr. rai Superintendent.~~

ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ
2-7-1894

Mugrai Superintendent

Rupees 58

Palace controller

Member Bar
Asst
16/7/64

Copies

1494

Yours truly,

Peace Corps Volunteer

12/1/94 Palace Hotel

3/
C

Copy

D. Sankaran

Palace Court, Allen

12/

Mysore.

Read:—

Petition No. 975 dated 3rd March 1894 from Ramabhatta and others complaining against the grant of rice allowance to one Sreekanta Sastri, Parpattegar of the Sri Prasanna Narjoondeswara Swami Temple in Mysore, from the Devikoota of the Sri Chamundeswari Temple on the hill at Mysore

Key & Temple

Read also:—

Further correspondence on the subject ending with Canarese Rubkari No. 34 dated 12th July 1894 from the Palace Controller Mysore.

No. 101-9 91/8/94 Order thereon No.
Mar 7 12-94

From the correspondence read above it is observed that in August 1883, the late Mr. Chidambaram Iyer, the

Palace
Assistant Commissioner ~~of~~
~~Charge of the Palace~~ authorized
a monthly grant of 60 seers
of rice from the Savings of
the Devikoota of the Sri
Channundeswari Temple, to
one Kadabada Seshabhatta.
On the death of ~~this~~ recipient,
his widow Seethamma applied
for the continuance of ~~this~~
allowance to her, on which the
Palace Assistant Commissioner
passed an order directing the
payment to her of a monthly
allowance of 30 seers of rice,
out of the 60 seers which her
deceased husband was in
receipt of. This order the
Government observe was
certainly irregular. The
allowance sanctioned to
being only personal
Seshabhatta ought to have
lapsed on his death.

2. Again in December 1890 Sree Kantasastri, ~~Puttapa of the Sri Prasanna Ramaswamy Devasthanam Temple~~, petitioned the Palace Controller claiming the ~~remaining~~ other 30 Seers, remaining out of the 60 Seers originally granted to Sesha Bhatta on the ground that he ~~Sree Kantasastri~~ petitioner was the brother of the deceased Sesha Bhatta. The Palace Controller thereupon passed an order granting Sree Kantasastri's prayer, apparently under the impression that the allowance originally granted to Sesha Bhatta was a hereditary one — which as a matter of fact, it was not.

3 The non-hereditary character of such Nehimi allowances has been clearly laid down in the Dewan's Proceedings No. 3427-34 Dy 8th August 1888. Para 3 of this order clearly ~~states~~ that such allowances could be granted

only to individuals labouring under some physical disability such as extreme old age, blindness, lameness &c. But in the case of Sreekantaswathi now under adverbence no such reasons could be assigned. He is on the other hand able-bodied and robust man; and what more, he earns his livelihood as Parpattegar of the Sri Prasanna Nanjoondeswaraswami Temple. To grant rice a dole to such a man to the prejudice of the legitimate demands for the feeding of poor pilgrims visiting the temple as brought to the notice of Govt. by the public in the petition is nothing more than a clear misuse of the charitable funds.

In these circumstances the Govt. direct that the allowance now paid to Sreekantaswathi be discontinued after giving him a previous notice of 3 months.

Secretary.

To
The Palace Controller, Ellyssee
Deputy Commissioners of Districts
for information & Guidance.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzarayi),—dated 1st August 1894.

READ:—

Petition No. 975, dated 3rd March 1894, from Ramabhatta and others, complaining against the grant of rice allowance to one Srikantasastri, Parpattegar of the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple in Mysore, from the Devikuta of the Sri Chamundeswari temple on the hill at Mysore.

READ ALSO:—

Further correspondence on the subject ending with Kanarese Rubkari No. 34, dated 12th July 1894, from the Palace Controller, Mysore.

No. 101-9—Muz. F. 12-94, DATED, BANGALORE, 1ST AUGUST 1894.

ORDER THEREON.—From the correspondence read above, it is observed that in August 1883, the late Mr. Chidambaram Iyer, the then Palace Assistant Commissioner, authorized a monthly grant of sixty seers of rice from the savings of the Devikuta of the Sri Chamundeswari temple, to one Kadabada Seshabhatta. On the death of the recipient, his widow Sithamma applied for the continuance of this allowance to her, on which the Palace Assistant Commissioner passed an order directing the payment to her of a monthly allowance of thirty seers of rice, out of the sixty seers which her deceased husband was in receipt of. This order, the Government observe, was highly irregular. The allowance sanctioned to Seshabhatta being only personal ought to have lapsed on his death.

2. Again in December 1890, Srikantasastri petitioned the Palace Controller, claiming the other thirty seers remaining out of the sixty seers originally granted to Seshabhatta, on the ground that he, petitioner, was the brother of the deceased Seshabhatta. The Palace Controller thereupon passed another order granting Srikantasastri's prayer, apparently under the impression that the allowance originally granted to Seshabhatta was a hereditary one—which as a matter of fact, it was not.

3. The non-hereditary character of such Nebimi allowances has been clearly laid down in the Dewan's Proceedings No. 3427--34, dated 8th August 1888. Para 3 of this order clearly states that rice allowances could be granted only to individuals laboring under some physical disabilities—such as extreme old age, blindness, lameness, &c. But in the case of Srikantasastri now under advertence, no such reasons could be assigned. He is on the other hand an able-bodied and robust man; and what more, he earns his livelihood as Parpattegar of the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple. To grant a rice dole to such a man from the funds specially assigned for the feeding of poor pilgrims visiting the temple is a clear misuse of the charity.

4. In these circumstances, the Government direct that the rice allowance now paid to Srikantasastri be discontinued after giving him a previous notice of three months.

Secretary.

To—The Palace Controller, Mysore
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts, for information and guidance.

Exd.—E.S.

1894

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

MUZARAYI.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 1st *August* 1894.

No $\frac{101\text{-}9.}{\text{Muz. F } 12\text{-}94.}$

Directing the discontinuance of the rice allowance granted to one Srikantā-sastri, from the Devikuta of the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore.

M. G. C. P., Bangalore—10-8-94—120.